



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,588	12/23/2003	Yohannes Tesfai	COG-2-0980.02.US	1587
24374	7590	09/03/2008		
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.			EXAMINER	
DEPT. ICC			VLAHOS, SOPHIA	
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			2611	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		09/03/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/707,588	Applicant(s) TESFAI ET AL.
	Examiner SOPHIA VLAHOS	Art Unit 2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/08, 6/6/08
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Independent claim 31 recites (lines 5-6 from the end of the claim): “.... A principal eigenvector of a product of the from a signal received from the other communication device and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix...”. However the specification enables computing a principal eigenvector of a product of the receive filter matrix and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix.

Dependent claim 32 is also rejected since it at least contains the limitations of claim31.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of the record fails to teach or suggest alone or in combination: A method for communicating signals using radio frequency (RF) communication techniques, the method comprising: computing a principal eigenvector of a product of the receive filter

matrix and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix, the principal eigenvector comprised of a plurality of sub-vectors each having a length corresponding to a number of taps of a transmit tapped-delay line filter associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device; generating from the plurality of sub-vectors of the principal eigenvector a plurality of transmit filter sub-vectors that form a transmit filter vector, each transmit filter sub-vector associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device and defining complex weights associated with the transmit tapped-delay line filter for a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device; as recited in claim 1 and in combination with other steps of the claim.

Claims 1-5 are allowed.

4. The prior art of the record fails to teach or suggest alone or in combination: A processor-readable medium encoded with a data structure of instructions for performing functions comprising: computing a principal eigenvector of a product of the receive filter matrix and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix, the principal eigenvector comprised of a plurality of sub-vectors each having a length corresponding to a number of taps of a transmit tapped-delay line filter associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device; generating from the plurality of sub-vectors of the principal eigenvector a plurality of transmit filter sub-vectors that form a transmit filter vector, each transmit filter sub-vector associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device and defining complex

weights associated with the transmit tapped-delay line filter for a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device; as recited in claim 6 and in combination with other steps of the claim.

Claims 6-14 are allowed.

The prior art of the record fails to teach or suggest alone or in combination: A semiconductor device comprising a plurality of gates configured to implement: one or more computation blocks that: compute a principal eigenvector of a product of the receive filter matrix and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix, the principal eigenvector comprised of a plurality of sub-vectors each having a length corresponding to a number of taps of a transmit tapped-delay line filter associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device; generate from the plurality of sub-vectors of the principal eigenvector a plurality of transmit filter sub-vectors that form a transmit filter vector, each transmit filter sub-vector associated with a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device and defining complex weights associated with the transmit tapped-delay line filter for a corresponding one of the plurality of antennas of the first communication device, as recited in claim 15 and in combination with other elements of the claim.

Claims 15-20 are allowed.

The prior art of the record fails to teach or suggest alone or in combination: A method for communicating signals using radio frequency (RF) communication techniques, comprising: computing a principal eigenvector of a product of the receive filter matrix and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix, the principal eigenvector comprised of a plurality of sub-vectors each having a length corresponding to the number of taps of the transmit tapped- delay line filter of the first communication device, as recited in claim 21 and in combination with other steps of the claim.

Claims 21-23 are allowed.

The prior art of the record fails to teach or suggest alone or in combination: A processor-readable medium encoded with a data structure of instructions for performing functions comprising: computing a principal eigenvector of a product of the receive filter matrix and a Hermitian of the receive filter matrix when a signal is received at the plurality of antennas of the first communication device from the second communication device, the principal eigenvector comprised of a plurality of sub-vectors each having a length, corresponding to the number of taps of the transmit tapped-delay line filter of the first communication device, as recited in claim 24 and in combination with other steps of the claim.

Claims 24-30 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Velazquez et al. (U.S. 2004/0104839)

Harrison et al. (U.S. 5,274,844)

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SOPHIA VLAHOS whose telephone number is (571)272-5507. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWRF 8:30-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammed Ghayour can be reached on 571 272 3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SOPHIA VLAHOS/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611
9/4/2008

/Mohammad H Ghayour/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611